

Amendments to the Drawings

The attached sheet of drawings include changes to FIGS. 1 and 2A. The sheets replace the original sheets that include FIGS. 1 and 2A. In FIG. 1, fans, fan controller, a valve, and a valve controller are added. In FIG. 2A, shelves (shown in dashed) have been added.

Attachment: Replacement Sheets containing FIGS. 1 and 2A

REMARKS

Claims 1-33 are pending and stand rejected. Claim 27 is canceled by way of this amendment to clarify the subject matter of the invention. All pending claims, as amended, as well as the newly added claims are believed to be allowable over the references cited by the Examiner as discussed below. Accordingly, a Notice of Allowance for the present application is respectfully requested.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §102(e)

Claims 1, 2, 7-9, 11-12, 23, 2-30, 32 and 33 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Chu (Chu 770).

Each of independent claims 1 and 23 recites “a structure defining a plurality of spaces, each space having an inlet and an outlet and being *otherwise generally enclosed*.”

In contrast, Chu discloses an enclosed air/water cooled rack mounted electronics apparatus that has removable drawer units each containing an electronic unit. In Chu, it is the entire system that is enclosed and Chu makes no mention of nor suggests having each of the removable drawer units being generally enclosed other than the inlet and outlet. See, for example, Abstract, claim 1: “cabinet completely encasing the rack unit,” and claim 20: “A method of constructing an enclosed air/water cooled rack mounted electronics apparatus comprising a) providing a pre-existing, in-place rack unit comprising a plurality of removable drawer units each containing an electronic unit”

In other words, Chu encloses the entire rack-mounted electronics apparatus and is not concerned with enclosing each individual electronic drawer.

In view of the foregoing, withdrawal of the rejection of independent claims 1 and 23 as well as claims dependent therefrom under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) is respectfully requested.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 3 and 24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Chu in view of Chu 770 in view of Chu 412. Claims 4, 5, 25, and 26 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Chu 770 in view of Beitelmal. Claim 6 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Chu 770 in view of Chu 796. Claims 10 and 31 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Chu 770 in view of Zhu.

However, dependent claims 3-6, 10, 24-26, and 31 are believed to be allowable at least because the independent claims 1 and 23 from which they variously depend are allowable as discussed above. Withdrawal of the rejection of dependent claims 3-6, 10, 24-26, and 31 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) is respectfully requested.

Claims 13 and 14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Chu 770 in view of Kubota.

However, similar to independent claims 1 and 23, independent claim 13 also recites “each space having an inlet and an outlet and being otherwise generally enclosed.” Because the addition of Kubota does not overcome the deficiency of Chu, withdrawal of the rejection of independent claim 13 as well as claim 14 dependent therefrom under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) is respectfully requested.

Claim 15 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Chu 770 in view of Kubota and further in view of Chu 412. In addition, claims 16-22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Chu 770 in view of Kubota and further in view of Beitelmal.

However, dependent claims 15-22 are believed to be allowable at least because the independent claim 13 from which they depend are allowable as discussed above. Withdrawal of the rejection of dependent claims 15-22 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

Applicants believe that all pending claims are allowable and respectfully request a Notice of Allowance for this application from the Examiner. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of this application, the undersigned can be reached at the telephone number set out below.

In the unlikely event that the transmittal letter accompanying this document is separated from this document and the Patent Office determines that an Extension of Time under 37 CFR 1.136 and/or any other relief is required, Applicant hereby petitions for any required relief

including Extensions of Time and/or any other relief and authorizes the Commissioner to charge the cost of such petitions and/or other fees due in connection with the filing of this document to Deposit Account No. ~~50-1217~~ (Order No. **GOOGP022**).

Respectfully submitted,



Jung-hua Kuo
Reg. No. 41,918
P.O. Box 3275
Los Altos, CA 94024
Telephone: (650) 988-8070
Facsimile: (650) 988-8090